arXiv:1610.00921v1 [math.CA] 4 Oct 2016

A REFINEMENT OF PÓLYA'S METHOD TO CONSTRUCT VORONOI DIAGRAMS FOR RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

RIKARD BØGVAD AND CHRISTIAN HÄGG

Abstract. Given a complex polynomial P with zeroes $z_1, \dots, z_d$, we show that the asymptotic zero-counting measure of the iterated derivatives $Q^{(n)}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $Q = R/P$ is any irreducible rational function, converges to an explicitly constructed probability measure supported by the Voronoi diagram associated with $z_1, \dots, z_d$. This refines Pólya's Shire theorem for these functions. In addition, we prove a similar result, using currents, for Voronoi diagrams associated with generic hyperplane configurations in $\mathbb{C}^m$.

1. Introduction

Pólya's Shire theorem [10, 15, 16, 20] says that the zero sets $Z(f^{(n)})$ of the iterated derivatives $f^{(n)}$ of a meromorphic function f with set of poles S accumulate along (the boundaries of) the Voronoi diagram associated with S. Considering the many recent studies of weak limits of zero-set measures of polynomial sequences, it is tempting to use that circle of ideas in the situation of Pólya's theorem. In this note we will do this for rational functions, where a measure-theoretic formulation is rather immediate, and the proof is straightforward.

If $Q = R/P$ (where we always assume that $\gcd(R, P) = 1$) is a rational function, the *associated zero-counting measure* $\mu_{_Q}$ is defined as the discrete probability measure that assigns equal weight to all zeroes $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ of Q (counted with multiplicity). That is

$$\mu_{_Q} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{a_i},$$

where δ_{a_i} is the Dirac measure at a_i. Fix a rational function $Q = R/P$. The Voronoi diagram $\mathrm{Vor}_{_S}$ associated with the zeroes $S := \{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$ of P, consists of (certain, see below) segments on the lines $|z - z_i| = |z - z_j|$, where z_i, z_j are distinct zeroes of P. Our main result is a description of the asymptotic limit of the zero-counting measures of the derivatives $Q_n := Q^{(n)}$. Define a plane measure with support on the line $L_{ij} : |z - z_i| = |z - z_j|$ by

$$\mu_{ij} := \frac{1}{2(d-1)\pi} \frac{|z_i - z_j|}{|(z - z_i)(z - z_j)|} \, \mathrm{d}s,$$

where s is Euclidean length measure in the complex plane, and z_i, z_j are distinct zeroes of P. Restricting the measure to the segment of L_{ij} that is part of the Voronoi diagram, and summing over all lines gives a measure $\mu_{_S}$, supported on the Voronoi diagram. This will in fact be a probability measure canonically associated with the diagram.

Theorem 1.1. *Given a rational function $Q = R/P$ where P has degree $d \geq 2$ and distinct zeroes $z_1, \dots, z_d$,*



FIGURE 1. The Voronoi diagram of a polynomial P of degree 8 (left) and zeroes of $(1/P)^{(15)}$ (right).

(i) the zero-counting measures μ_n of the sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converge to the probability measure μ_S.
(ii) The logarithmic potential $L_{\mu_n}(z)$ of μ_n converges in L^1_{loc} to the logarithmic potential of μ_S, which equals

$$\Psi(z) := (d-1)^{-1}(\log|\tilde{P}| + \max_{i=1,\dots,d}\{\log|z-z_i|^{-1}\}),$$

where $\tilde{P} = \prod_{i=1}^{d}(z-z_i)$.

An illustration of part (i) of this statement is given in Figure 1.

Statement (ii), for a sequence of measures implies (i), but not conversely. It follows from (ii) that the Cauchy transform of μ_n convergees in L^1_{loc} to the Cauchy transform of μ_S.

The sequence Q_n may be thought of as a model (or toy) example of the asymptotic behaviour of zero-sets of sequences of polynomials or rational functions, in that it exhibits in an especially nice form features of more complicated examples. We emphasize the fact, contained in the above theorem, that the logarithmic potential of the asymptotic measure is the *global maximum* of a fixed finite number of harmonic functions, and not only a local maximum (as in e.g. [2, 3, 5]). In addition, (Section 5.1), the Cauchy transform of the asymptotic measure trivially satisfies an algebraic equation (Corollary 1). This equation may be derived formally from a parameter dependent quasi exactly solvable differential equation of fixed degree, satisfied by the sequence of derivatives.

Perhaps the nicest feature of this approach to Pólya's theorem through zero-counting measures, is the immediacy with which one obtains similar results for rational functions in arbitrary dimensions. Using the machinery of currents, we construct a sequence of multivariate polynomials, whose zero-sets converge to the Voronoi diagram associated with a generic hyperplane arrangement (Theorem 6.1).

This generalizes an example given by Demailly [7]. Our asymptotic measure is in certain cases a tropical current, as defined in the thesis of Babaee [1].

In the last section, we have briefly discussed a similar application to polynomial lemniscates (see [9, 17]). We give a sequence of polynomials whose zero-counting measures converge to an explicit measure with support on a wide class of polynomial lemniscates. This generalization is outside the scope of Pólya's theorem.

Finally we note that our work (see Example 2) provides a family of counterexamples to Conjecture 1 in the recent paper by Shapiro and Solynin [19].

The authors are indebted to Boris Shapiro, Dmitry Khavinson, Gleb Nenashev and in particular Elizabeth Wulcan for discussions, ideas and comments, and to Alexander Eremenko and James Langley for answers to questions on Pólya's theorem.

2. Voronoi diagrams as the support of the Laplacian of superharmonic and subharmonic functions

2.1. **Definition.** The Voronoi diagram (see [13]), belonging to a finite set of points $S = \{z_1, \dots, z_d\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, is a stratification of the complex plane, using the function

$$\Phi(z) := \min_{i=1,\dots,d} \{|z - z_i|\}.$$

The (closed) connected cell containing a $z_i \in S$, and so consisting of the points that are closest to z_i of all the points in S, equals the set

$$V_i = \{z : \Phi(z) = |z - z_i|\}.$$

Similarily, the boundary between two of these cells, V_i and V_j, consists of a closed connected line segment that is a subset of the line $|z - z_i| = |z - z_j|$:

$$V_{ij} = \{z : \Phi(z) = |z - z_i| = |z - z_j|\}.$$

Together these boundaries form the *1-skeleton* $\mathrm{Vor}_{\mathrm{S}}$ of the diagram. When S is the set of zeroes of a polynomial P we will sometimes denote it by $\mathrm{Vor}_{\mathrm{P}}$. Finally, vertices of the Voronoi diagram are exactly the sets of points z for which three or more distances $|z - z_i|$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, coincide with $\Phi(z)$. An example is seen in Figure 1.

Since $\Phi(z)$ is harmonic in the interior of each cell, it is (in an obvious sense) a *piecewise harmonic* function (see [3]), and $\mathrm{Vor}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is the support of the Laplacian $\Delta\Phi(z)$ of the superharmonic function $\Phi(z)$.

2.2. **The subharmonic function Ψ.** We will have essential use for a slightly different *subharmonic* function $\Psi(z)$. Let $\tilde{P} = \prod_{i=1}^{d}(z - z_i)$ be the monic polynomial with simple zeroes at $z_1, \dots, z_d$. Define the function

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_S(z) := & \quad \tfrac{1}{d-1}(-\log \Phi(z) + \log|\tilde{P}(z)|) \\ = & \quad \tfrac{1}{d-1}\left(\max_{i=1,\dots,d}\{\log(|z - z_i|^{-1})\} + \log|\tilde{P}(z)|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\Psi = \Psi_S$ has very similar properties to Φ.

Lemma 2.1. *Ψ is a continuous subharmonic function, defined in the whole complex plane (even for points in S), and harmonic in the interior of any cell V_i.*

Proof. In fact, in the Voronoi cell V_i we have

$$(d-1)\Psi(z) = \log|z-z_i|^{-1} + \log|\tilde{P}(z)|,$$

hence Ψ is continous in $\mathbb{C}$, and harmonic in the interior of V_i. Also, $\Psi(z)$ is the maximum of a finite number of harmonic functions, and is thus subharmonic. □

Since Ψ is subharmonic, $\Delta\Psi = 4\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial\bar{z}\partial z}$ is a positive measure, with support on the boundary of the cells, i.e. on $\mathrm{Vor}_{\mathrm{S}}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Define a measure with support on the line* $l_{ij}:\ |z-z_i| = |z-z_j|$ *as*

$$\delta_{ij} = \frac{1}{4(d-1)}\frac{|z_i - z_j|}{|(z-z_i)(z-z_j)|}\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

where $\mathrm{d}s$ *is Euclidean length measure in the complex plane. Then*

(1) $\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial\bar{z}\partial z}$ *is the sum of all* δ_{ij}*, each restricted to* V_{ij}*.*
(2) $\mu_{_S} := \frac{2}{\pi}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial\bar{z}\partial z}$ *is a probability measure.*

We will later, in Corollary 1, also see that Ψ is the logarithmic potential of $\mu_{_S}$. For the proof of the proposition we need to recall some general facts on piecewise harmonic functions.

2.3. **The Laplacian of a piecewise harmonic function.** Assume that Ψ is a continous and subharmonic function, harmonic and equal to H_i in the open sets $V_i,\ i = 1,\dots,d$, that form a cover of $\mathbb{C}$ a.e. Assume that each H_i is defined and harmonic in an open set containing $\bar{V}_i$. Let $A_i := \partial H_i/\partial z$. Assume finally that $\partial V_i = \cup_j V_{ij}$ is a finite union of C^1 curves, where $V_{ij} \subset \partial V_i \cap \partial V_j$ are closed as sets. We set $V_{ij} = V_{ji}$, and also define $V_{ij} = \emptyset$ if V_i and V_j have no common curve segment as a border between them. Orient V_{ij} so that $V_{\min(i,j)}$ is on the right of V_{ij}.

Lemma 2.3. *As distributions, acting on a test function* $f \in C_c(\mathbb{C})$*,*

(1)
$$\left\langle \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial z}, f \right\rangle = \sum_i \int_{V_i} A_i(z) f(z)\,\mathrm{d}x\wedge\mathrm{d}y.$$

(2)
$$\left\langle \frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial\bar{z}\partial z}, f \right\rangle = \sum_{i<j}\frac{1}{2i}\int_{V_{ij}} (A_i(z) - A_j(z)) f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Proof. In an interior point $z \in V_i$, $\Psi(z)$ has a pointwise derivative $A_i = \partial H_i/\partial z$, and hence $\Psi(z)$ has a pointwise derivative a.e. (with respect to Lebesgue measure). This derivative is a L^1_{loc}-function, and so defines a distribution. For a general function the pointwise derivative does not necessarily coincide with the distributional derivative; however for a function that is continuos and piecewise differentiable, this is true (see e.g. Prop. 2 in [3]). This proves (1).

Hence

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial\bar{z}\partial z}, f \right\rangle = -\left\langle \frac{\partial\Psi(z)}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial\bar{z}} \right\rangle = -\sum_i \int_{V_i} A_i(z)\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial\bar{z}}\,\mathrm{d}x\wedge\mathrm{d}y.$$

But, since A_i is holomorphic,

$$A_i(z)\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial\bar{z}} = \frac{\partial(A_i(z)f(z))}{\partial\bar{z}},\ z \in V_i,$$

so the last sum equals, using Stokes' theorem ([11, 1.2.2]),

$$-\frac{1}{2i}\sum_i \int_{\partial V_i} A_i(z) f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z. \tag{2.1}$$

The curve integrals in this sum use an orientation of ∂V_i, such that V_i is to the left of ∂V_i. Rewriting (2.1) as a sum of curve integrals on V_{ij}, noting that each V_{ij} occurs in both ∂V_i and ∂V_j with opposite orientation gives (2). □

2.4. **Proof of Proposition 2.2.** For Ψ as in 2.2,

$$A_i(z) := \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z} = (2d-2)^{-1}\left(-(z-z_i)^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{d}(z-z_j)^{-1}\right),\ z \in V_i, \tag{2.2}$$

and hence

$$A_i(z) - A_j(z) = \frac{z_j - z_i}{(2d-2)(z-z_i)(z-z_j)}.$$

V_{ij} is a segment of the orthogonal bisector of the line segment between z_1 and z_2, that is given by the equation $z = (1/2)(z_i + z_j) - ti(z_j - z_i),\ t \in \mathbb{R}$ (with the orientation that V_i is to the right of V_{ij}). Assume that $z \in V_{ij}$. Then $z - z_i = (z_j - z_i)(1/2 - ti)$ as well as $z - z_j = (z_j - z_i)(-1/2 - ti)$, and since $\mathrm{d}z = -i(z_j - z_i)\,\mathrm{d}t$,

$$(A_i(z) - A_j(z))\,\mathrm{d}z = \frac{i\,\mathrm{d}t}{(2d-2)(1/4+t^2)}. \tag{2.3}$$

The Pythagorean theorem applied to the triangle with vertices z, z_i, and $(1/2)(z_i + z_j)$ gives that $|z - z_i|^2 = |z_j - z_i|^2((1/4) + t^2)$. Length measure along V_{ij} is given by $\mathrm{d}s = |z_j - z_i|\,\mathrm{d}t$. Inserting these expressions for t and $\mathrm{d}t$ in (2.3), and applying Lemma 2.3 (2), gives part (1) of the proposition.

Remark 1. One could also have used the Plemelj-Sokhotski relations, to directly derive (1), see [3, Lemma 2] or (in a different form) Theorem II.1.5 (i) of [18].

Now for the second part of the proof, let C_R be the circle $|z| = R$, and D_R be the disk $|z| \le R$. Choose R so large that all bounded cells in the Voronoi diagram are contained in the interior of D_R, and let χ_R be the characteristic function of D_R. By (2.1) in the proof of Lemma 2.3,

$$\mu_{_S}(D_R) = \frac{2}{\pi}\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \bar{z}\partial z}, \chi_R \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{\pi i}\sum_i \int_{\partial V_i \cap D_R} A_i(z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

The contribution of the boundaries of the bounded cells to the sum is zero, and the contribution of the unbounded cells $V_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, d$ equals the curve integral of $A_i(z) = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z}$ on $V_i \cap C_R$ (both statements by Cauchy's theorem), so that

$$\mu_{_S}(D_R) = \frac{1}{\pi i}\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\partial V_i \cap C_R} A_i(z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Note that the induced orientation on C_R is positive. It remains only to exploit that $A_i(z) \sim 1/2z$ at infinity. Set $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z} = (1/2z) + B(z)$. By (2.2),

$$B(z) = \frac{1}{(2d-2)}\sum_{j \ne i} \frac{z_j}{z(z-z_j)}, \quad \text{for } z \in V_i,$$

so that it, by trivial estimates, satsisfies $\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{C_R} B(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\mu_{_S}(D_R) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{C_R}\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} = 1.$$

Remark 2. Since our goal is just to understand Ψ we have not striven for generality in the previous proposition; it is clear that one could reformulate the above discussion as a general statement on piecewise harmonic and subharmonic functions, whose Laplacian has unbounded support.

3. When the Voronoi diagram is a line

As an illuminating example of our theorem, we will describe the easiest case, in which all calculations can be made explicit. Let

$$Q(z) := \frac{A_1}{z-z_1} + \frac{A_2}{z-z_{\,2}}\,,\ A_1A_2\neq 0,\ z_1\neq z_2. \tag{3.1}$$

The Voronoi diagram associated with $\{z_1, z_2\}$ consists of the line V_{12}, given by the condition $|z-z_1| = |z-z_2|$. This is the line that orthogonally bisects the line segment between z_1 and z_2. The Möbius transformation

$$M(z) := \frac{z-z_1}{z-z_2}$$

maps V_{12} injectively to the unit circle C, with all C except 1 in the image. It will be crucial below. It has inverse

$$M^{-1}(z) = \frac{zz_2-z_1}{z-1}.$$

Normalized angular measure

$$\mathrm{d}\theta := \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{d}s,$$

(where $\mathrm{d}s$ is Euclidean length measure in the plane), seen as a measure on $\mathbb{C}$ with support on C, pulls back by $z = M(w)$ to give a measure

$$\mu := \frac{1}{2\pi}|M'(w)|\,\mathrm{d}s = \frac{|z_1-z_2|}{2\pi|z-z_1||z-z_2|}\,\mathrm{d}s$$

with support on V_{12}. Recall that the pullback measure is defined by the property

$$\int f(M^{-1}(z))\,\mathrm{d}\theta = \int f(w)\,\mathrm{d}\mu, \tag{3.2}$$

where $f\in C_c(K)$ is a test function with support in the compact disc K.

The pullback measure μ on V_{12} turns out to be the asymptotic measure. The proof is quite explicit, since we can find the zeroes of

$$Q^{(n-1)}(z) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!(A_1(z-z_2)^n + A_2(z-z_1)^n)}{((z-z_1)(z-z_2))^n},$$

(for $n\geq 1$) as the solutions to

$$A_1(z-z_2)^n + A_2(z-z_1)^n = 0 \iff \frac{z-z_1}{z-z_2} = (-A_1/A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}\epsilon_n^i,\ i=0,1,\dots,n-1,$$

where $b_n := (-A_1/A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is *one* choice of an n'th root, and ϵ_n is a primitive n'th root of unity. Hence the zeroes are

$$\xi_i := M^{-1}(b_n\epsilon_n^i),\ i=0,1,\dots,n-1.$$

(Note that possibly one $b_n\epsilon_n^i$ equals 1, so that $M^{-1}(b_n\epsilon_n^i)$ is not defined. Then there are only $n-1$ zeroes of $Q^{(n-1)}$. This will mean an obvious, but inessential change in the argument below, which we will not detail.)

The following proposition is a special case of part (i) of the theorem.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that Q is given by (3.1), and let $\mu_{n-1} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\delta_{\xi_i}$ be the zero-counting measure of $Q^{(n-1)}$ as above. Then $\mu_{n-1} \to \mu$ as distributions (or measures).*

Proof. For $f \in C_c(K)$, K a compact disc,

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} f\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{n-1} = \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(M^{-1}(b_n\epsilon_n^i))\right) \to \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f\left(M^{-1}\left(e^{it}\right)\right)\mathrm{d}t,$$

where the last integral is just $\int_{\mathbb{C}} f(M^{-1}(z))\,\mathrm{d}\theta$. Now the result follows from (3.2). □

Example 1. *Suppose that $S = \{\pm i\}$. Then V_{12} is the real axis and $\mu_{_S} = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{1+t^2}\,\mathrm{d}t$ where $\mathrm{d}t$ is Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}$. Clearly $\mu_{_S}$ is a probability measure. If furthermore $Q(z) = \frac{1}{1+z^2}$, the zeroes of $Q^{(n)}(z)$ are $z = \cot\frac{k\pi}{n+1}$, $k = 1,\dots,n$ (see Pólya [15]). In the upper half-plane $\Psi(z) = \log|z+i|$, and in the lower half-plane $\Psi(z) = \log|z-i|$.*

4. Proof of the theorem

As we have noted earlier, it is enough to prove the L^1_{loc}-convergence $L_n \to \Psi$ in Theorem 1.1 (ii) on a compact set K. We give an overview of the proof. First we describe the derivatives $Q^{(n)}$ of the rational function Q, in section 4.1, in particular the role that the largest pole plays (Lemma 4.1). We also need to estimate the degree of the nominator R_n of $Q^{(n)}$ and the highest coefficient α_n (Lemma 4.2-3). This is trivial in the generic case. Then we easily get uniform convergence on compact subsets of open Voronoi cells in Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.5. This proves convergence a.e. In order to also prove L^1_{loc}-convergence it essentially only remains to note that the zeroes of R_n, where L_n has singularities, do not grow too quickly to infinity (Lemma 4.6), and once this is done, to estimate the contribution of each of these zeroes to the integral of L_n on a small neighbourhood of the Voronoi diagram. This is done in 4.4.2. Finally we note that Ψ is actually the logarithmic potential of μ, and describe the case of a single pole.

4.1. **Derivatives of a rational function.** A rational function with poles $z_1,\dots,z_d$, may be decomposed into polar parts as

$$Q = P_0(z) + \frac{P_1(z)}{(z-z_1)^{r_1}} + \cdots + \frac{P_d(z)}{(z-z_d)^{r_d}},$$

where $P_i \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ has degree s_i strictly less than r_i, $i = 1,\dots,d$. Clearly $P_0(z)$ will not play any role for the asymptotic properties of $Q^{(n)}$, so we may assume $P_0(z) = 0$. Write $P_i(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{s_i} a_{i,r_i-j}(z-z_i)^j$ (with $a_{i,r_i} \neq 0$), so that

$$Q_i := \frac{P_i(z)}{(z-z_i)^{r_i}} = \frac{a_{i,r_i-s_i}}{(z-z_i)^{r_i-s_i}} + \cdots + \frac{a_{i,r}}{(z-z_i)^{r_i}}.$$

Hence

$$Q_i^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{a_{i,j}(-1)^n (j)_n}{(z-z_i)^{j+n}}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $(j)_n = j(j+1)\cdots(j+n-1)$ is the (ascending) Pochhammer symbol (as used in the theory of special functions). Below we will need the following property:

$$(j)_n = j(j+1)\cdots(j+n-1) = n!\frac{(n+1)\cdots(n+j-1)}{(j-1)!} =: n!p_j(n), \tag{4.2}$$

($j \geq 1$) where $p_j(n)$ is a polynomial of degree $j-1$ in n.

The most singular term of the polar part will dominate the rest of $Q_i^{(n)}$, in the following way.

Lemma 4.1.

$$Q_i^{(n)} = \frac{(-1)^n (r_i)_n a_{i,r_i}}{(z-z_i)^{r_i+n}}(1+S_i^n(z)), \tag{4.3}$$

where the polynomial $S_i^n(z) \to 0$, uniformly on compact sets $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. It follows from (4.1) that the coefficients of the polynomial

$$S_i^n(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{r_i-1} \frac{a_{i,j}(j)_n}{a_{i,r_i}(r_i)_n}(z-z_i)^{r_i-j}$$

tend uniformly to 0 with increasing n, since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(j)_n}{(r_i)_n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{r_i!}{j!} \cdot \frac{(n+j-1)!}{(n+r_i-1)!} = 0,$$

if $j < r_i$. This implies the lemma. □

4.2. **Number of zeroes.** Let Q be as above. Its derivative equals

$$Q^{(n)} = \frac{\alpha_n R_n}{P P_0^n}, \tag{4.4}$$

where $P = \prod_{i=1}^d (z-z_i)^{r_i}$, $P_0 = \prod_{i=1}^d (z-z_i)$, $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $R_n \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ is a monic polynomial. Let $r := \deg P = r_1 + \cdots + r_d$. Note that $GCD(R_n, PP_0^n) = 1$, by the fact that the $Q_i^{(n)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$ have relatively prime denominators. For generic Q the degree of R_n is $n(d-1)+r-1$. In general the asymptotic result below holds.

Lemma 4.2.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\deg R_n}{n} = d-1. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Clearly, from (4.1)

$$\max_{i=1,\ldots,d} \left\{ \sum_{j\neq i} (n+r_j) + r_i - 1 \right\} = (d-1)n + r - 1 \geq \deg R_n, \tag{4.6}$$

and with generic coefficients of the rational function this will be an equality. Our proof of the general case proceeds in two steps.

Step 1. Change variable to $y = 1/z$. Then using (4.1), the fact that $r \geq r_i$, and (4.2) we can rewrite

$$Q^{(n)}(1/y) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{S_i(y,n)y^n}{(1-z_i y)^{n+r}} := n! y^n S(y,n)$$

where $S_i(y,n),\ i=1,\dots,d$ are polynomials in the variables y and n. For each $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, $S(y,n)$ is a rational function in y, and it suffices to find a uniform bound (in n) of the order $o(S(y,n))$ of the zero of $S(y,n)$ at $y=0$. For if $o(S(y,n))\le C$, then by (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}&\deg_z P_0P^n-\deg_z R_n=o(S(y,n))+n\implies\\&\deg_z R_n=r+nd-n-o(S(y,n))\ge(d-1)n+(r-C).\end{aligned}$$

This together with the upper bound (4.6), implies the lemma.

Step 2. Note that the highest non-zero coefficient of $R_n(z)$ is the lowest non-zero coefficient of $Q_n(1/y)$, expanded as a power series in y, and, in addition, equals $n!b_s$, where $S(y,n)=\sum_{i=s}^{\infty}b_i(n)y^i$ and $b_s(n)\neq 0$. The coefficients $b_i(n)$ are easily checked to be polynomials in n—this follows from Newton's binomial theorem, applied to the denominators—and thus have only a finite number of zeroes. Hence there exists an N such that $b_s(n)$ is non-zero for $n\ge N$, and consequently $o(S(y,n))=s$ if $n\ge N$. By the previous step we are done. □

The following consequence of the proof will be needed in the next section.

Lemma 4.3. *As $n\to\infty$,*

$$\frac{\log|n!/\alpha_n|}{n}\to 0.$$

Proof. As noted in the proof of the preceding lemma, the highest non-zero coefficient α_n of $R_n(z)$ is the lowest non-zero coefficient $n!b_s$ of the power series of $S(y,n)$. Write $b_s(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{k}a_in^i=a_kn^k\tilde{b}_s(n)$, with $a_k\neq 0$, and note that $\tilde{b}_s(n)\to 1$ as $n\to\infty$. This implies the lemma. □

4.3. **Uniform convergence almost everywhere of the logarithmic transform.** Pólya [15, Behauptung b), p. 41] gives the following lemma (which we only prove for rational functions). We will use V_i^o to denote an open Voronoi cell V_i.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $Q(z)$ be a meromorphic function. Then the sequence $\left|\frac{Q^{(n)}(z)}{n!}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ converges to $|z-z_i|^{-1}$ pointwise in $V_i^o\setminus\{z_i\}$. The convergence is uniform on compact subsets $W\subset V_i^o\setminus\{z_i\}$.*

Proof. For Q a rational function, this is a simple consequence of Lemma 4.1. By the definition of an open cell V_i^o, there is a $C<1$ such that

$$z\in V_i^o\implies\frac{\left|(z-z_j)^{-1}\right|}{|(z-z_i)^{-1}|}<C,\ j\neq i,$$

and furthermore there is for any fixed compact $W\subset V_i^o\setminus\{z_i\}$ a $C<1$ that works for any $z\in W$. Since furthermore $(r_j)_n/(1)_n$ is a non-zero polynomial of fixed degree in n, it is clear that $((r_j)_n/(1)_n)C^n\to 0$ when $n\to\infty$. Hence by Lemma 4.1, if $j\neq i$, and $z\in W$,

$$\left|\frac{Q_j^{(n)}(z)}{(1)_n(z-z_i)^{-(r_i+n)}}\right|\le M\frac{(r_j)_n}{(1)_n}C^{n+r_i}\to 0,$$

when $n \to \infty$, where M is the maximal value of $|a_{j,r_j}(z-z_j)^{r_i-r_j}|$ in W. Since $(1)_n = n!$, another application of Lemma 4.1, this time to $Q_i(z)$, gives that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{Q_i^{(n)}(z)}{n!(z-z_i)^{-(r_i+n)}} \right|^{1/n} = 1$$

and this convergence is clearly also uniform in W. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left|(z-z_i)^{-(r_i+n)}\right|^{1/n} = |z-z_i|^{-1}$, this implies the lemma. □

In particular there is only a finite number of zeroes of any $Q^{(n)}$ in a compact subset of an open cell of the Voronoi diagram.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $L_n(z) = \log|R_n(z)|/\deg R_n$ be the logarithmic transform of the probability measure μ_n associated with R_n. Then for any z in the interior of the Voronoi cell V_i^o we have pointwise convergence*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} L_n(z) = (d-1)^{-1}\left(\log|P_0| + \max_{i=1,\dots,d}\{\log|z-z_i|^{-1}\}\right) =: \Psi(z).$$

The convergence is uniform on compact subsets of V_i^o.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 it suffices to show that $\log|R_n(z)|/n \to \log|P_0| + \max_{i=1,\dots,d}\{\log|z-z_i|^{-1}\} = (d-1)\Psi(z)$. Given a compact subset $W \subset V_i^o$, there is by the preceding Lemma an N_W such that for $n > N_W$, no zeroes of R_n are contained in W. Hence for $n > N_W$, both $\log|R_n(z)|/n$ and $(d-1)\Psi(z)$ are continuous and harmonic functions in W. If $z \in W \subset V_i^o \setminus \{z_i\}$, (4.4) implies that

$$S_n(z) := \log|R_n(z)|/n = \log\left|\frac{Q_n(z)}{n!}\right|/n + \log\left|\frac{n!}{\alpha_n}\right|/n + \log|P_0(z)| + \log|P(z)|/n.$$

By taking logarithms in the preceding lemma, the first term in this sum converges uniformly in W to $\log(|z-z_i|^{-1})$. By Lemma 4.3, the second term converges uniformly to 0. The continuity of $P(z)$ on W implies that this is also true of the last term. Hence the left-hand side converges uniformly to $(d-1)\Psi(z)$ in W. This can be extended to compact subsets of V_i^o. Assume that $\tilde{W} \subset V_i^o$ is a compact set. Furthermore, assume that z_i is contained in its interior, and that the open disk $D(z_i, r) \subset \tilde{W}$. Let $W = \tilde{W} \setminus D(z_i, r)$, and use the previous result to get N such that $n \geq N$ implies $-\epsilon < S_n(z) - (d-1)\Psi(z) < \epsilon$ in W. Since W contains the boundary of $D(z_i, r)$ and both $S_n(z)$ and $(d-1)\Psi(z)$ are harmonic in $D(z_i, r)$, it follows by the maximum principle that $-\epsilon < S_n(z) - (d-1)\Psi(z) < \epsilon$ in $\tilde{W}$. This proves the proposition. □

4.4. **Proof of the main theorem.** Uniform convergence a.e. as in Proposition 4.5 does not by itself imply convergence of the logarithmic potentials in L^1_{loc}, though it tells us that there is only one possible limit, since a function in L^1_{loc} is determined by its behavior a.e. If we had a uniform bound on the L^1-norms of Ψ_n on a compact set K we could use sequential compactness, but it turns out to be easiest to give a direct proof of the convergence. The main obstacle is that the zeroes of R_n are unbounded as $n \to \infty$. To handle this we give a rough bound of the growth of the zeroes of R_n in Lemma 4.6.

4.4.1. *Growth of zeroes.* Fix a rational function $R(z)$. Note that if we have proven the statement of the theorem for $R(z)$, then the theorem also follows for $S(w) = R(z)$, where we have changed variables by setting $w = z + a$. There will be at least one open component of the complement $\mathrm{Vor}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ of the Voronoi diagram, with the property that it contains open disks $D_R(a)$ with center a and radius R of arbitrarily large radius. Hence we may assume, by choosing a suitably, and so moving the Voronoi diagram, that the following holds:

(*) the closed unit disk $\bar{D}_1 = D_1(0) \subset \mathrm{Vor}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{c}}$. Consequently, by Lemma 4.5, there is a number N such that $z \in D_1 \implies R_n(z) \neq 0$, if $n \geq N$. Equivalently, if $n \geq N$ and $R_n(z) = 0$, then $|z| \geq 1$.

(**) $\bar{D}_1$ contains no pole, hence $|z_i| \geq 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$.

For $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, set

$$|z_{K,n}| = \prod_{z \in K : R_n(z) = 0} |z|,$$

(zeroes taken with multiplicities, and if there are no zeroes of $R_n(z)$ in K, then $|z_{K,n}| = 1$. Let $D_R = \{z : |z| \leq R\}$, for $R > 0$, and let $m_n := \deg R_n$.

Lemma 4.6. *Assume (*) and (**). For K equal to D_R or D_R^c, $|z_{K,n}|^{1/n}$ is a bounded sequence. Hence there is a number C such that $0 \leq (1/m_n) \log |z_{K,n}| \leq C$ if $n \geq N$.*

Proof. By (*), $1 \leq |z_{K,n}|^{1/n}$, for K equal to either D_R or D_R^c and $n \geq N$. Furthermore $|z_{D_R,n}||z_{D_R^c,n}| = |R_n(0)|$, so it suffices to check that $|R_n(0)|^{1/n}$ is a bounded sequence. We have, by (4.4) and Lemma 4.1,

$$R_n(0) = \left(\frac{n!}{\alpha_n}\right) P_0(0) P(0)^n \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{(-1)^n \frac{(r_i)_n}{n!} a_{i,r_i}}{(-z_i)^{r_i+n}} \big(1 + S_i^n(0)\big).$$

Consider the factors. First, by Lemma 4.3, $|\frac{n!}{\alpha_n}|^{1/n} \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Second, $|P_0(0)P(0)^n|^{1/n} \to |P(0)| \neq 0$ by (*). Finally, by Lemma 4.1, $|1+S_i^n(0)|$ is bounded, and so there is an $M > 0$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{(-1)^n \frac{(r_i)_n}{n!} a_{i,r_i}}{(-z_i)^{r_i+n}} \big(1 + S_i^n(0)\big) \right| \leq M \max_{i=1,\ldots,d} \left\{ \frac{(r_i)_n}{z_i^n n!} \right\}.$$

Since $\frac{(r_i)_n}{n!}$ is a polynomial in n of fixed degree, it follows that $|R_n(0)|^{1/n}$ is indeed bounded. This completes the proof.

□

4.4.2. *A lot of integrals.* We now turn to the proof of the theorem, and first note that it is enough to prove (ii), since (i) is an immediate consequence by taking the Laplacian. We want to estimate, for a fixed $R > 0$,

$$I_1 := \int_{\mathcal{D}_R} |L_n(z) - \Psi(z)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

Fix $0 < \epsilon < 1$. By the uniform convergence in Proposition 4.5, there is to any open subset $U \subset \bar{U} \subset D_R \backslash \mathrm{Vor}_{\mathrm{S}}$ an N such that $n \geq N$ implies that $|L_n(z) - \Psi(z)| \leq \epsilon$ if $z \in U$. Hence

$$I_2 := \int_U |L_n(z) - \Psi(z)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \leq \pi R^2 \epsilon = O(\epsilon). \tag{4.7}$$

Furthermore

$$\int_{D_R\setminus U} |L_n(z)-\Psi(z)|\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \le \int_{D_R\setminus U} |L_n(z)|\,\mathrm{d}\lambda + \int_{D_R\setminus U} |\Psi(z)|\,\mathrm{d}\lambda =: I_3 + I_2 \quad (4.8)$$

The last integral

$$I_2 \le M_1\lambda(D_R\setminus U) \le M_1\epsilon, \quad (4.9)$$

letting M_1 be the maximal value of $\Psi(z)$ in D_R, and assuming that U is chosen suitably large, so that

$$\lambda(D_R\setminus U) \le \epsilon. \quad (4.10)$$

The integral I_3 in (4.8) needs more bookkeeping. First split the function into two parts

$$L_n(z) = \sum_{|z_i|\ge R+1} (1/m_n)\log|z-z_i| + \sum_{|z_i|<R+1} (1/m_n)\log|z-z_i| := L_n^I(z) + L_n^{II}(z).$$

Then from

$$0 \le \log|z-z_i| \le \log(R+|z_i|) \le \log R + \log|z_i|, \qquad \text{if } |z_i|\ge R+1,\ |z|\le R.$$

we get that

$$\int_{D_R\setminus U} |L_n^I(z)|\,\mathrm{d}\lambda = \sum_{|z_i|\ge R+1} (1/m_n)\int_{D_R\setminus U} |\log|z-z_i||\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \quad (4.11)$$

$$\le \left(\log(R+1) + \sum_{|z_i|\ge R+1} \log|z_i|(1/m_n)\right)\lambda(D_R\setminus U) \quad (4.12)$$

$$\le (\log(R+1)+C)\epsilon = O(\epsilon), \quad (4.13)$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 4.6, using (4.10).

If in addition to $|z|\le R$ and $|z_i|\le R+1$, also $|z-z_i|\ge\epsilon$, then $|\log|z-z_i|| \le \max\{-\log\epsilon, \log(2R+1)\}$. This implies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{D_R\setminus U} |\log|z-z_i||\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \\
&\le \int_{|z-z_i|\le\epsilon} |\log|z-z_i||\,\mathrm{d}\lambda + \max\{-\log\epsilon, \log(2R+1)\}\lambda(D_R\setminus U) \\
&\le -2\pi(\log\epsilon - 1/2)(\epsilon^2/2) + \max\{-\log\epsilon, \log(2R+1)\}\epsilon = O(\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the bound does not depend on z_i. Hence if we sum over all terms in the integral of L^{II}, which are at most m_n in number, we get that

$$\int_{D_R\setminus U} |L_n^{II}(z)|\,\mathrm{d}\lambda = O(\epsilon). \quad (4.14)$$

Now Theorem 1.1(ii) follows from the fact that the upper bounds in (6.4), (4.9), (4.12) and (4.14) go to 0 when ϵ goes to 0.

4.5. **Ψ is a logarithmic potential.** Let μ_S be the measure defined earlier on the Voronoi diagram associated with a set of points S. Given that $L := L_{\mu_S}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|z-\zeta|\,\mathrm{d}\mu_S(\zeta)$ exists as a L^1_{loc}–function, it will differ from Ψ_S by a harmonic function. But in fact they are equal.

Corollary 1. *Ψ_S is the logarithmic potential of μ_S.*

Proof. First of all, $L := L_{\mu_S}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|z-\zeta| \, \mathrm{d}\mu_S(\zeta)$ is well-defined as a L^1_{loc}−function: Let $l_{ij} = \{z : \ |z-z_i| = |z-z_j|\}$, and use the notation of Lemma 2.2. Then, for $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ a compact set,

$$\int_K |L(z)| d\lambda(z) \leq \sum_{i,j} \int_{l_{ij}} \left(\int_K |\log|z-\zeta|| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(z) \right) \mathrm{d}\delta_{ij}(\zeta).$$

Now fix a line l_{ij}. An affine change of coordinates transforms l_{ij} into the real axis, and then δ_{ij} is given by $\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{1+t^2}\,\mathrm{d}t$ (see Example 1). Hence it suffices to prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_K \frac{|\log|z-t||}{1+t^2} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(z) \right) \mathrm{d}t$$

is finite. This is clear, since for large $|t|$, the integrand is approximately $\lambda(K)\log|t|/t^2$. Secondly, we will prove that $L(z)$ has the property that

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} (L(z) - \log|z|) = 0.$$

Since $\Psi(z)$, by inspection, has the same property, the desired result directly follows: the harmonic function $\Psi(z) - L(z)$ is bounded, and hence constant and equal to 0.

Now, as above,

$$|L(z) - \log|z|| \leq \sum_{i,j} \int_{l_{ij}} \left| \log \left| 1 - \frac{\zeta}{z} \right| \right| \mathrm{d}\delta_{ij}(\zeta),$$

and again, after an affine transformation, it is enough to consider

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|\log\left|1-\frac{t}{z}\right|\right|}{1+t^2} \, \mathrm{d}t,$$

which is easily seen to have the limit 0 as $|z| \to \infty$.

□

4.6. **A single pole.** For completeness, we consider the case when Q has only one pole.

Lemma 4.7. *Let R be a polynomial with $\tilde{d} \geq 0$ zeroes (distinct or multiple), let m and n be nonnegative integers, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{Conv}(Z(R))$. Then*

$$Q^{(n)}(z) := \left(\frac{R}{(z-\alpha)^m} \right)^{(n)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{(z-\alpha)^{m+n}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{R^{(k)}(\alpha)}{k!} \cdot \frac{(n+m-k-1)!}{(m-k-1)!} (z-\alpha)^k + \sum_{k=m}^{\tilde{d}} \frac{R^{(k)}(\alpha)}{k!} \left((z-\alpha)^{k-m} \right)^{(n)}. \tag{4.15}$$

Furthermore, for any $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the open disk $|z| < r$ contains no zeroes of $Q^{(n)}$ for all sufficiently large n.

Proof. Equation (4.15) follows from the Taylor expansion of R at $z = \alpha$, by summing the terms where $k - m < 0$ and $k - m \geq 0$ separately. Note that $Q^{(n)}$ has $\theta := \min(m-1, \tilde{d})$ zeroes for all large enough n, since the polynomial $H_n(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\theta} L_{n,k}(z-\alpha)^k$ dominates its zero distribution for such n, where

$$L_{n,k} := \frac{R^{(k)}(\alpha)}{k!} \cdot \frac{(n+m-k-1)!}{(m-k-1)!}.$$

In particular, if $m = 1$, it follows from (4.15) that $Q^{(\tilde{d})} = -R(\alpha)\tilde{d}!/(\alpha - z)^{\tilde{d}+1}$. Thus, any rational function with a simple pole has no zeroes when differentiated $n \geq \tilde{d}$ times.

If $m > 1$, consider the polynomial

$$W_n(y) := y^\theta H_n(1/y + \alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{\theta} L_{n,k} y^{\theta-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\theta} L_{n,\theta-k} y^k.$$

Clearly, when $n \to \infty$, the zeroes of $Q^{(n)}(z)$ and $H_n(z)$ diverge toward ∞ iff the zeroes of $W_n(y)$ converge on 0. Now note that, for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, \theta - 1$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|L_{n,\theta-j}|}{|L_{n,\theta-(j+1)}|} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{R^{(\theta-j)}(\alpha)}{(\theta-j)R^{(\theta-j-1)}(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{m-\theta+j}{n+m-\theta+j} \right| = 0, \qquad (4.16)$$

since the Gauss-Lucas theorem ensures that $R^{(\theta-j-1)}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Thus, equation (4.16) and Kojima's bound [12] show that all zeroes of $W_n(y)$ converge on 0 when $n \to \infty$. □

5. Algebraic and differential equations

5.1. **The algebraic equation for the Cauchy transform of the asymptotic measure.** The Cauchy transform C_μ of the measure μ is defined as

$$C_\mu(z) = \int \frac{1}{z-t}\, \mathrm{d}\mu(t),$$

and satisfies $C_\mu = 2\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z}$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\partial C_\mu}{\partial \bar{z}}$, where Ψ is the logarithmic transform of μ.

Corollary 1. *Assume $d \geq 2$. The Cauchy transform of the asymptotic measure $\mu = \mu_S$ associated with the set $S = \{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$ satisfies the algebraic equation*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(C_\mu - (d-1)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j\neq i}^{d} (z - z_j)^{-1} \right) \right) = 0$$

a.e.

Proof. It is part of the proof of Lemma 2.2 (and the theorem) that the Cauchy transform $C_\mu = 2\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z}$ is piecewise analytic. In the interior of the Voronoi cell V_i it equals

$$(d-1)^{-1} \left(-(z-z_i)^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{d} (z-z_j)^{-1} \right) = (d-1)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j\neq i}^{d} (z-z_j)^{-1} \right).$$

Since such interiors cover $\mathbb{C}$ a.e, the equation follows.

□

An algebraic equation for the Cauchy transform of an asymptotic measure is an interesting invariant, from which the local behaviour of the logarithmic transform may be deduced, see e.g [5]. Here the solutions of the algebraic equation have no monodromy, since they are rational functions, making the situation very simple. Algebraic equations may sometimes be derived from differential equations of the Cauchy transform; such exist also here, as we will see now.

5.2. **Differential equations for $Q^{(n)}$ and R_n.** We will only give explicit equations in a special case, and in the general case we just indicate how they can be produced. Let

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j (z - z_i)^{-s}.$$

Then

$$Q^{(n)} = (-1)^n (s)_n \sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j (z - z_i)^{-s-n}. \tag{5.1}$$

These are power sums, and Newton's relations imply that there is a differential equation satisfied by $Q^{(n)}$.

Proposition 5.1. *If the rational function $Q = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j (z - z_i)^{-s}$, then its derivatives $Q^{(n)}$ satisfy*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} \frac{e_i(z)}{(s+n)(s+n+1)\cdots(s+n+i-1)} \frac{\mathrm{d}^i Q^{(n)}}{\mathrm{d}z^i} = 0, \tag{5.2}$$

where $e_i(z)$ is the i'th elementary symmetric function in the d arguments $z - z_i$, $\quad i = 1, \ldots, d$ (and $e_0 = 1$).

Proof. Let $t = w_j$ in $\prod_{j=1}^{d}(t - w_j) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i e_i(w) t^{d-i}$, which gives

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i e_i(w) w_j^{d-i} = 0.$$

Divide by w_j^{d+s+n} and modify the coefficients:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i \frac{(-1)^{n+i} e_i(w)}{(s)_{n+i}} (-1)^{n+i} (s)_{n+i} w_j^{-s-n-i} = 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Hence, letting $w_j = z - z_j$, multiplying by α_j and adding the equations (5.3) for $j = 1, \ldots, d$, shows, using (5.1), that $y = Q^{(n)}$ satisfies

$$(-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^{d} \frac{e_i(z)}{(s)_{n+i}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^i y}{\mathrm{d}z^i} = 0.$$

This is equivalent to (5.2). □

It is in general easy to find a differential equation for the derivatives of an arbitrary rational function, and hence also for its denominators. We sketch the procedure.

Proposition 5.2. *For an arbitrary rational function $Q = R/P$, the $Q^{(n)}$ satisfy a differential equation*

$$P(n, z, \mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}z) Q^{(n)} = 0,$$

of order at most $\deg R + 1$.

Proof. Let D denote $\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}z$, considered as a differential operator. A polynomial $P(z)$ can also be thought of as such an operator, acting by multiplication. The

algebra of operators on polynomials, that D and z generate, is the Weyl algebra A_1 (see [6]). If P is of degree d, then as operators in A_1,

$$D^n P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{d+1} P_i(n,z) D^{n-i}, \tag{5.4}$$

for some polynomials P_i in n and z. This follows by induction on the degree of P, using the relation $Dz = zD + 1$.

If $\deg R = d$, then $(D^n D^{d+1} P) * Q = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ (where $*$ signifies action of an operator on a function). Hence, using (5.4)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d+1} P_i(n+d+1,z) D^{n+d+1-i} * Q = 0,$$

which means that $Q^{(n)}$ satisfies the parameter dependent differential equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d+1} P_i(n+d+1,z) \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d+1-i} Q^{(n)}}{\mathrm{d}z^{d+1-i}} = 0.$$

□

Using the fact that $P^n Q^{(n)} = R_n$, and a result similar to (5.4) that allows commuting high powers of P with powers of D, it is an easy, but tedious computation to see that there is a differential equation for R_n as well. We content ourselves with an easy example.

Example 2. The following incidentally gives a counterexample to Conjecture 1 of [19], described below. Let

$$Q = (z-z_1)^{-1} + (z-z_2)^{-1} = \frac{2z - z_1 - z_2}{(z-z_1)(z-z_2)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{(-1)^n}{n!} Q^{(n)} = (z-z_1)^{-1-n} + (z-z_2)^{-1-n} = \frac{(z-z_1)^n + (z-z_2)^n}{(z-z_1)^n (z-z_2)^n}.$$

The differential equations for $Q^{(n)}$ and R_n are, respectively,

$$\frac{(z-z_1)(z-z_2)}{(n+1)(n+2)} Q^{(n+2)} + \frac{2z - z_1 - z_2}{n+1} Q^{(n+1)} + Q^{(n)} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{(z-z_1)(z-z_2)}{(n+1)(n+2)} R_n'' - \frac{2z - z_1 - z_2}{n+1} R_n' + R_n = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

We can formally recover the algebraic equation for the Cauchy transform of the asymptotic measure from equation (5.5). Divide the equation by $n^2 R_n$, let $n \to \infty$ and assume that $C := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{R_n'}{n R_n}$, so that C is the Cauchy transform of the asymptotic zero counting measure of R_n. If we further assume that $C^2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{R_n''}{n^2 R_n}$ (for a motivation for this in a similar situation, see [4]) we get the equation

$$(z-z_1)(z-z_2)C^2 - (2z - z_1 - z_2)C + 1 = 0.$$

This is, of course, the equation $((z-z_1)C-1)((z-z_2)C-1) = 0$, which we already established in Corollary 1.

By Proposition 3.1 there is in general no compact set that contains all the zeroes of all R_n. In [4] a condition is given for quasi exactly solvable parameter dependent differential equations, such as the one for R_n, to have polynomial solutions whose zeroes are uniformly bounded. This condition is formulated in terms of the zeroes of an associated characteristic polynomial: these zeroes should all have different arguments. The characteristic polynomial of (5.5) is $\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1$ which has a double zero at $\lambda = 1$. Hence this example gives an instance of the necessity of the condition for uniform boundedness given in [4], and in addition a counterexample to Conjecture 1 of [19], which suggested that the condition in [4] would be unnecessary.

6. Voronoi diagrams and Pólya's theorem in higher dimensions

It is tempting to try to extend the above results to higher dimensions. Without striving for generality, we will now sketch in a simple example how one could do this. Let $W_i \subset \mathbb{C}^m,\ i = 1, \dots, d$ be a hyperplane arrangement; for simplicity of proof we will assume that

$$W_i \cap\ W_j \neq \emptyset,\ i, j = 1, \dots, d. \tag{6.1}$$

For instance, this is true for all generic hyperplane arrangements, see [14]. But for $m = 1$, the only such arrangements consist of just one point, so the hypothesis is quite restrictive.

Each W_i is defined by a polynomial

$$L_i(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} z_j + b_i = \mathbf{a_i} \cdot z + b_i = 0,\ z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

We make a preliminary assumption that $|\mathbf{a_i}| = 1,\ i = 1, \dots, d$, and as a consequence, the distance from z to W_i is given by $|L_i(z)|$. Note that $\mathbf{a_i}$ is only determined up to multiplication by an element in $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$, and that by (6.1) all $\mathbf{a_i}$ are distinct.

There is an obvious Voronoi stratification of $\mathbb{C}^m$, with cells V_i defined as those z for which W_i is the closest hyperplane, or $\min\{|L_j(z)|,\ j = 1, \dots, d\} = |L_i(z)|$. The codimension 1 skeleton $\mathrm{Vor}^1_{\mathrm{w}}$ consists of the union of certain closed sets of real dimension $2m-1$, subsets of $|L_i(z)| = |L_j(z)|,\ i \neq j$. As for $m = 1$, this skeleton is easily seen to be the locus of non-differentiability of the plurisubharmonic, piecewise harmonic and continous function

$$\Psi(z) := \max_{i=1,\dots,d} \{\log(|L_i(z)|^{-1})\} + \log|P(z)|,$$

where $P = L_1 \cdots L_d$.

Now let us return to Pólya's theorem. If $m = 1$, $a_i = 1$, and $f(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i(z + b_i)^{-1},\ 0 \neq A_i \in \mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$f^{(n)}(z) = (-1)^n n! \sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i (z + b_i)^{-1-n}.$$

Hence the zeroes of $f^{(n)}(z)$, whose asymptotic behaviour is described by Pólya's theorem, coincide with the zeroes of $\sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i (z + b_i)^{-1-n}$. For $m > 1$ this suggests one should naively consider the sequence of rational functions, $L_1^{-n} + \cdots + L_d^{-n}$, or rather its numerator $P^n(L_1^{-n} + \cdots + L_d^{-n})$.

The hypersurface $Z_n := Z(P^n(L_1^{-n} + \cdots + L_d^{-n}))$ has an associated Euler-Poincaré current

$$E_n = dd^c(\log|P^n(L_1^{-n} + \cdots + L_d^{-n})|)$$

(see Demailly's book [8]), which is the appropriate generalization of the zero-counting measure (up to a multiplicative constant). There is also the current $E = dd^c\Psi(z)$, with support on the the codimension 1 skeleton of the Voronoi diagram. It may be explicitly computed in a similar way as for $n = 1$ above. (A sample computation is given in the example below.) Note that

$$\Psi_n := \frac{1}{n}\log|P^n(L_1^{-n} + \cdots + L_d^{-n})| \to -\log|L_i| + \log|P| = \Psi \tag{6.2}$$

in the interior of the cell that contains $W_i,\ i = 1,\dots,d$, uniformly on compact subsets. In particular Ψ_n converges to Ψ pointwise a.e.

Theorem 6.1. *Assume that $L_i(z),\ i = 1,\dots,d$, define a generic hyperplane arrangement. Then $\frac{1}{n}E_n \to E$ as currents.*

Proof. It is enough to check that we have L^1-convergence $\Psi_n \to \Psi$ in each compact $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. First we will find a coordinate system that is adapted to use of Fubini's theorem and (the proof of) Theorem 1. We claim that it is possible to find a unit vector $\mathbf{b}$, such that all $|\mathbf{a_i}\cdot\mathbf{b}|,\ i = 1,\dots,d$, are non-zero and distinct. This follows from the fact that the locus of $|\mathbf{a_i}\cdot\mathbf{c}| = |\mathbf{a_j}\cdot\mathbf{c}|$ is a codimension 1 real hypersurface if $\mathbf{a_i} \neq \mathbf{a_j}$. The finite union V^h of these hypersurfaces cannot cover $\mathbb{C}^m$, and any unit vector $\mathbf{b}$ in the open set $\mathbb{C}^m \setminus V^h$ will do. Since this last set is open, we may also assume that all $\mathbf{a_i}\cdot\mathbf{b} \neq 0$. Changing the basis of $\mathbb{C}^m$, so that $\mathbf{b}$ is the first basis vector, the new coordinate system then satisfies that W_i is transversal to the fibres of $\rho : \rho(z_1,\dots,z_n) = (0,z_2,\dots,z_n)$, and that $|a_{i1}|,\ i = 1,\dots,d$, in the (new) expression for L_i are all distinct. As a consequence, for each fixed k, and all n,

$$Z_n \cap \rho^{-1}(\rho(k)) \subset D_R \subset \rho^{-1}(\rho(k)), \tag{6.3}$$

where the radius R of the disk D_R with center at $\rho(k)$ may be taken to depend continously on $\rho(k)$. Hence if $k \in K$, a compact set, R may be assumed to be uniformly bounded. This is seen as follows. Assume that $|a_{11}|$ is minimal among $|a_{i1}|,\ i = 1,\dots,d$. The restriction of L_i to $\rho^{-1}(\rho(k))$ is of the form $L_i(z) = a_{i1}z_1 + p_i$, where $p_i = p_i(z_2,\dots,z_m) = a_{i2}z_2 + \cdots + a_{im}z_m + b_i$ only depends on $\rho(z)$. Choose $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $|a_{i1}| < \delta|a_{s1}|,\ s = 2,\dots,m$. If $p_1,\dots,p_m$ are fixed there is an R_1, depending continously on $p_1,\dots,p_m$, such that $|z_1| > R_1$ implies that $|a_{i1}z_1 + p_i| < \delta|a_{s1}z_1 + p_s|,\ s = 2,\dots,m$. Now choose an N such that $n > N$ implies that $(d-1)\delta^n < 1/2$. Then

$$|P(z)^n(L_1(z)^{-n} + \cdots + L_d(z)^{-n})| \geq \frac{|P(z)|^n}{|L_1(z)|^n}(1-(d-1)\delta^n) \geq (1/2)\frac{|P(z)|^n}{|L_1(z)|^n}.$$

If the left-hand side of this inequality is zero, then $P(z) = 0 \iff z = -p_i/a_{i1}$ for some i. Hence (6.3) holds with $R = \max\{R_1, |p_i/a_{i1}|,\ i = 1,\dots,d\}$ and $n > N$. To obtain (6.3) was the reason we assumed (6.1).

Now we proceed to the proof proper. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let U be an open set that does not intersect the Voronoi skeleton $\mathrm{Vor}^1_{\mathrm{L}}$, and such that $\bar{U}$ is compact. By the uniform convergence (6.2), in compact subsets of open cells, there is an N_1 such that $n \geq N_1$ implies that $|\Psi_n(z) - \Psi(z)| \leq \epsilon$ if $z \in U$. This is possible since the fibres of ρ are transversal to all the hyperplanes W_i. Hence

$$I_1 := \int_U |\Psi_n(z) - \Psi(z)|\mathrm{d}\lambda \leq \lambda(U)\epsilon = O(\epsilon). \tag{6.4}$$

Choose the open set $U \subset \bar{U} \subset K \setminus V_L^1$, such that for each fibre: $\lambda_1(\rho^{-1}\rho(k) \cap K \setminus U) \leq \epsilon$ for all $k \in K$, where λ_1 is Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}$. Let N_1 and R be such that (6.3) also holds for $n > N_1$ and $k \in K$. Now

$$\int_{K\setminus U} |\Psi_n - \Psi| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \leq \int_{K\setminus U} |\Psi| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda + \int_{K\setminus U} |\Psi_n| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda =: I_2 + I_3.$$

Clearly by Fubini's theorem,

$$I_2 = \int_{K\setminus U} |\Psi| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \int_{\rho(K)} \left(\int_{\rho^{-1}\rho(k)\cap(K\setminus U)} |\Psi| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \right) \mathrm{d}\lambda_{m-1} \leq \epsilon \lambda_{m-1}(\rho(K)) M = O(\epsilon), \tag{6.5}$$

where M is the maximum value of the continous function Ψ on K, and λ_1 and λ_{m-1} are Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{m-1}$, respectively.

Finally, we apply Fubini again, on the integral I_3:

$$I_3 = \int_{K\setminus U} |\Psi_n| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \int_{\rho(K)} \left(\int_{\rho^{-1}\rho(k)\cap(K\setminus U)} |\Psi_n| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \right) \mathrm{d}\lambda_{m-1}. \tag{6.6}$$

Note that for the restriction to the fiber in the inner integral

$$R_n(z) := P(z)^n (L_1(z)^{-n} + \cdots + L_d(z)^{-n}) = A(n) z_1^{(d-1)n} + \text{ lower terms in } z_1,$$

where

$$A(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^n}{a_i^n} \sim \frac{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^n}{a_1^n},$$

as $n \to \infty$. Hence

$$\Psi_n(z) = (1/n) \left(\log |A(n)| + \sum_{j=1}^{n(d-1)} \log |z_1 - \alpha_j| \right),$$

denoting by $\alpha_j, \ j = 1, \ldots, n(d-1)$ the roots of $R_n(z)$ on the fibre. Each of the terms in this sum contributes to the inner integral in (6.6). Let $K \subset B_S(0)$, the ball with radius S centered at the origin . By (6.3), $|\alpha_j| \leq R$, so that $|z_1 - \alpha_j| \leq R + S$. Hence, uniformly in $\rho(k), \ k \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\rho^{-1}\rho(k)\cap(K\setminus U)} (1/n) \log |z_1 - \alpha_j| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \\ &= (1/n)(-(\log \epsilon - 1/2)(\epsilon^2/2) + \max\{-\log \epsilon, \log(R+S)\}\epsilon) = O(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $I_3 = O(\epsilon)$, if n is large enough. Adding the estimates for the three integrals I_1, I_2 and I_3 concludes the proof.

□

Example 3. *Consider $Q_n = z_1^{-n} - z_2^{-n}$. The set $V_{12} = \{(z_1, z_2) : |z_1| = |z_2|\}$ is the cone over the torus $S^1 \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. It divides $\mathbb{C}^2$ into two cells. $Z_n = Z(z_1^{-n} - z_2^{-n})$ is the union of the lines $L(\epsilon_n^j) \ : \ z_1 = \epsilon_n^j z_2, \ j = 0, \ldots, n-1$, where ϵ_n is a primitive n'th root of unity. As n becomes large, it is easily seen that these lines fill out V_{12}. Namely, if $(z_1, z_2) \in V_{12}$ then this point belongs to a line $L(e^{i\theta}) \ : \ z_1 = e^{i\theta} z_2, \ \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, and we can find an ϵ_n^j that is arbitrarily close to $e^{i\theta}$. Hence the line $z_1 = \epsilon_n^j z_2$ will approximate the line $z_1 = e^{i\theta} z_2$ (and in a compact region of $\mathbb{C}^2$ this approximation is uniform).*



FIGURE 2. The zeroes of $(z-1)^{12n}+(z+1)^{8n}+(z-i)^{7n}+(z+i)^{21n}$ (left), and $(z-1)^{-5n}+(z+1)^{4n}+(z-i)^{-4n}+(z+i)^{-2n}$ (right), where $n=10$.

By definition E and E_n acting on a $(1,1)$ test form α are given by

$$E = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\left(\int_{L(e^{i\theta})}\alpha\right)\mathrm{d}\theta, \qquad E_n = (1/n)\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{L\left(\epsilon_n^j\right)}\alpha.$$

A very similar example to $E_n \to E$ was considered by Demailly [7]. *The current E is an example of a tropical current, as defined in* [1]. *However most of the cases covered by the theorem are not tropical.*

7. Some variants and further problems in complex dimension 1

7.1. **Lemniscates.** We will sketch another example, in the same circle of ideas as the previous, but which is not covered by Pólya's theorem.

Given a finite number of entire functions $f_1,\dots,f_d$, define $\Psi(z) := \max\{|f_i|, i = 1,\dots,d\}$. Then there is a Voronoi-type stratification with open cells of the form $V_i = \{z : \Psi(z) = |f_i(z)|\}$, with boundaries that are unions of subsets of the sets $\{z : |f_i| = |f_j|\}$, $i \neq j$. Let $R_n(z) = f_1(z)^n + \cdots + f_d(z)^n$. In the interior of V_i, $|f_i(z)| > |f_j(z)|$, and hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\log|R_n(z)| = \log|f_i(z)| = \Psi(z).$$

As a consequence this sequence is pointwise convergent a.e.

Now assume that $f_i(z) = P_i(z)$, $i = 1,\dots,d$, are monic polynomials.

Proposition 7.1. *Let μ_n be the zero-counting probability measure associated with $R_n = \sum_{i=1}^d P_i(z)^n$. Then $L(\mu_n) \to \Psi$ pointwise a.e., where*

$$\Psi := \max_{i=1,\dots,d}\{\log|P_i|\}.$$

If $d_i := \deg P_i$, and $d_1 > d_i$, $i \neq 1$, then the Voronoi diagram is compact, and this convergence is L^1_{loc}.

Proof. Only the last statement needs an argument. Compactness follows by assuming that there are sequences $z_i,\ i = 1, \dots$ and $n_i,\ i = 1, \dots$, such that $R_{n_i}(z_i) = 0$, and noting that the term $z^{d_1 n}$ will dominate $R_{n_i}(z_i)$ for large $|z|$. It is furthermore easy to prove uniform convergence on compact subsets of an open Voronoi cell, and then the result follows by a similar calculation as in the last part of the proof of the main theorem (made easier by compactness). □

We expect that the convergence is still L^1_{loc} without the condition on the exponents, at least in generic cases. For an illustration, see Figure 2.

7.2. **Entire functions with a finite number of zeroes.** A natural extension of the preceding results is to study $f(z) = (R/P)e^Q$ where R, P, and Q are polynomials, and P has at least two distinct zeroes. By Hadamard's theorem functions of this type are precisely meromorphic functions that are quotients of two entire functions of finite order, each with a finite number of zeroes. It is immediate by induction that the number of zeroes of each $f^{(n)},\ n \geq 0$, is finite, and hence there is a probability measure μ_n associated with $Z(f^{(n)})$. By Pólya's theorem these zeroes cluster asymptotically along the Voronoi diagram of $P(z)$, and the precise asymptotics of μ_n seems to be given by the same measure on the Voronoi diagram as above. More generally, leaving sequences of polynomials, one may ask for similar results for an arbitrary meromorphic function with an infinite number of zeroes.

References

[1] F. Babaee, *Complex Tropical Currents, Extremality, and Approximations.* arXiv:1403.7456.

[2] T. Bergkvist and H. Rullgård, *On polynomial eigenfunctions for a class of differential operators.* Math. Res. Lett. 9 (2002).

[3] R. Bøgvad, J. Borcea, *Piecewise harmonic subharmonic functions and positive Cauchy transforms.* Pacific J. Math. 240 (2009), no. 2.

[4] R. Bøgvad, J. Borcea, B. Shapiro, *Homogenized spectral problems for exactly solvable operators: asymptotics of polynomial eigenfunctions.* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 45 (2009).

[5] R. Bøgvad, B. Shapiro, *On motherbody measures with algebraic Cauchy transform.* arXiv:1406.1972 (to appear in l'Enseignement Mathematique).

[6] S. C. Coutinho, *A primer of algebraic D-modules.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

[7] J. P. Demailly, *Courants positifs extrêmaux et conjecture de Hodge.* Invent. Math. 69 (1982).

[8] J. P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry.* Available at `https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf`

[9] P. Ebenfelt, D. Khavinson, and H.S. Shapiro *Two-dimensional shapes and lemniscates.* Complex analysis and dynamical systems IV. Part 1, Contemp. Math. 553 (2011).

[10] W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions.* Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964).

[11] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables.* North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1990).

[12] T. Kojima *On the limits of the roots of an algebraic equation.* Tohoku Math. J. , vol. 11 (1917).

[13] A. Okabe, B. Boots, K. Sugihara, and S. N. Chiu, *Spatial tessellations: concepts and applications of Voronoi diagrams.* John Wiley & Sons, 2 ed. (2000).

[14] P. Orlik and H.Terao, *Arrangements of hyperplanes.* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 300, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992).

[15] G. Pólya, *Über die Nullstellen sukzessiver Derivierten.* Math. Z., vol. 12 (1922).

[16] G. Pólya, *On the zeroes of the derivatives of a function and its analytic character.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 49 (1943).

[17] S. Pouliasis and T. Ransford, *On the harmonic measure and capacity of rational lemniscates.* Potential Anal. 44 (2016), pp. 249–261.

[18] E.B. Saff, V.Totik, *Logarithmic potentials with external fields.* Springer-Verlag, New York (1997).
[19] B. Shapiro, A. Solynin, *Root-counting measures of Jacobi polynomials and topological types and critical geodesics of related quadratic differentials.* arXiv:1510.06003.
[20] Whittaker, *Interpolatory function theory.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 33 (1964).

Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail address: rikard@math.su.se

Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail address: hagg@math.su.se